RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN VACUUM THERMAL INSULATION OF SPACE VEHICLES
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An improved radiative transfer model for vacuum thermal insulations of space vehicles is developed. The effects of both the fibrous spacer between the metal foils and thin oxide layer on the foil surface are taken into account in the calculations of the integral radiative flux through the insulation. Parametric calculations at realistic values of the problem parameters indicate that absorption and scattering of thermal radiation by fibers do not lead to a significant decrease in the radiative flux. At the same time, even very thin oxide films on the surfaces of aluminum foils should be taken into account in engineering calculations. Theoretical estimates show that not even a very dense spacer made of metalized glass fibers with aluminum coating about 50 nm thick may lead to almost a twofold decrease in the integral radiative flux.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The present study is motivated by optimization of the so-called passive thermal control systems (TCSs) for space vehicles. The specifics of external heating of space vehicles during flight in vacuum enables us to consider some variants of a passive TCS based on screening the vehicle surface from external radiation of different natures. This may be direct solar radiation, solar radiation reflected by planets, as well as thermal radiation of closely spaced planets. Various multilayer insulations (MLIs) are widely used to solve this engineering problem (Malozemov et al., 1986). This type of vacuum insulation has obvious advantages, such as high thermal resistance at a relatively low density and convenience of use for surfaces of complex shape. It may be interesting to compare MLIs with vacuum insulation panels (VIPs) used in building applications (Fricke et al., 2008; Baetens et al., 2010; Bouquerel et al., 2012). There are some general features of MLIs and VIPs; however, space conditions lead to additional strong restrictions.

A typical MLI looks like a set of thin metal screens about 0.5–0.9 μm thick with spacers in between that prevent contact between the screens. Simple estimates show that the use of several layers (screens with spacers) may lead to a significant reduction of heat flux to the protected surface. In MLIs, 10–30 shielding layers are usually used. Various materials are used in MLI. The material choice depends on the expected temperature level. A polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film coated with aluminum, silver, or gold can be used for screens at working temperatures of MLI up to 423 K. Aluminum foil with fiberglass spacers is used at higher temperatures up to 723 K. At temperatures greater than 723 K, the foil is made of copper, nickel, or steel and the spacer is made of quartz fibers. The surface density of 10 screens of PET film is in the range of 0.2–0.3 kg/m², whereas the use of metal foils increases this value up to 1 kg/m² (Malozemov et al., 1986). However, regardless of the materials used in layers and spacers, the principle of the MLI is the same.

The MLI thermal models used in engineering calculations do not take into account the effect of spacers on heat transfer (Alifanov et al., 2009; Nenarokomov et al., 2010). To the best of our knowledge, this effect was also not considered in the literature before our recent paper (Gritsevich et al., 2013). At the same time, the desirable increase in accuracy in the calculations of a spacecraft’s thermal insulation requires analysis of all potentially im-
2. TRADITIONAL THERMAL MODEL

A simplified computational model with \( L \) opaque isothermal elements (screens) is traditionally used in approximate engineering calculations (Malozemov et al., 1986). In this model, the spacers are not considered as separate elements of MLI. Moreover, the so-called gray model is employed and the real spectral characteristics of surfaces are ignored. In this case, the mathematical model of transient heat transfer includes the following coupled ordinary differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1 c_1 (T_1) \frac{dT_1}{dt} & = A_s (T_1) \left[ q_s (\tau) + q_R (\tau) \right] + \epsilon_1 (T_1) \\
& \times q_e (\tau) - \epsilon_1 (T_1) \sigma T_1^4 + \epsilon_{1,2} \sigma (T_2^4 - T_1^4) + k_{1,2} (T_2 - T_1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( T_l \) is the temperature of element number \( l \) with thickness \( \delta_l; \rho_1 \) and \( c_1 \) are the density and specific heat capacity of the element material; \( k_{l-1,l} \) is the conventional heat transfer coefficient between elements \( l \) and \( l - 1; \epsilon_l \) is the integral hemispherical emittance of the element; \( \epsilon_{l-1,l}^{\text{eff}} \) is the effective integral hemispherical emittance of the system of neighboring elements; \( q_s \) is the integral (over the spectrum) solar radiative flux; \( q_R \) is the
integral flux of solar radiation reflected by a planet; \( q_e \) is the integral flux of the planet’s radiation; \( A_s \) is the average (gray) absorption coefficient for solar radiation; and \( k_{int} \) is the heat transfer coefficient between the \( L \)th element of MLI and the protected surface of a spacecraft. To complete the above approximate thermal model, one needs not only the initial conditions but also some specific models for the components of the external radiative flux and the values of both the integral emittance and conventional heat transfer coefficients.

3. IMPROVED MODEL OF RADIATION HEAT TRANSFER

Let’s consider a single element of MLI, which consists of two screens and a spacer made of semi-transparent highly porous fibrous material (see Fig. 1). The following assumptions are used in the heat transfer model: (1) the radiative flux in the normal direction can be determined as a solution of a one-dimensional problem neglecting small two-dimensional effects; (2) there is no thermal contact between the screens and spacer, and thermal radiation is the only heat transfer mode to be considered; (3) the normal emission and reflection are the most important properties of the surfaces, and the angular dependences of the radiative properties of these surfaces can be ignored; and (4) the isothermal metal screens are totally opaque for thermal radiation. It is also assumed that the so-called near-field effect in radiative heat transfer is negligible because the distance between the metal screens is not too small (Chapius et al., 2008; Kralik et al., 2012; Parka and Zhang, 2013). The latter corresponds to the vacuum flight conditions when the remaining gas between the metal screens leads to an increase in the internal gap thickness of the insulation layer. The previous assumptions enable us to use the following simple differential equations:

\[
\rho_1 c_1 \frac{dT_1}{dt} = q_{ext} + q_1^- - q_1^+
\]

\[
\rho_{sp} c_{sp} \delta_{sp} \frac{dT_{sp}}{dt} = q_1^+ - q_1^- - (q_2^+ - q_2^-)
\]

\[
\rho_2 c_2 \delta_2 \frac{dT_2}{dt} = q_2^+ - q_2^- - q_{int}
\]

Photographs of typical spacer materials are shown in Fig. 2.

The heat capacity of each MLI layer is very small. This makes it possible to assume that a quasi-steady regime takes place in the case of slower variations in external heat transfer conditions. This approach appears to be correct in most cases. The resulting simplifications are very

**FIG. 1:** Scheme of the problem

**FIG. 2:** Photographs of typical materials used for spacers between two foil layers: (a) very low-density material; (b) relatively dense material
important because it is sufficient to consider the following balance equations in spectral radiation fluxes:

\[ q_{1,\lambda}^+ = \epsilon_{1,\lambda} f_{1,\lambda} + (1 - \epsilon_{1,\lambda}) q_{1,\lambda}^- , \]
\[ q_{1,\lambda}^- = \varepsilon_{\text{sp},\lambda} f_{\text{sp},\lambda} + R_{\lambda} q_{1,\lambda}^+ + T_{\lambda} q_{2,\lambda}^- , \]
\[ q_{2,\lambda}^+ = \epsilon_{\text{sp},\lambda} f_{\text{sp},\lambda} + R_{\lambda} q_{2,\lambda}^- + T_{\lambda} q_{1,\lambda}^+ , \]
\[ q_{2,\lambda}^- = \epsilon_{2,\lambda} f_{2,\lambda} + (1 - \epsilon_{2,\lambda}) q_{2,\lambda}^+ \]  
(3)

where \( q_{i,\lambda}^\pm \) are the spectral radiative fluxes in the gap with number \( i \) in the forward and backward hemispheres; \( \epsilon_{1,\lambda} \) and \( \epsilon_{2,\lambda} \) are the spectral hemispherical emittances of the screens at temperatures \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \); \( f_\lambda = \pi B_\lambda(T) \) is the blackbody spectral radiative flux; \( B_\lambda(T) \) is the Planck function; and \( R_{\lambda} \) and \( T_{\lambda} \) are the spectral hemispherical reflectance and transmittance of the spacer. According to Kirchhoff’s law, spectral hemispherical emittance of the spacer is expressed as \( \varepsilon_{\text{sp},\lambda} = 1 - R_{\lambda} - T_{\lambda} \).

In the case of a quasi-steady process, the resulting radiative fluxes in the two gaps are equal to each other:

\[ q_{\lambda} = q_{1,\lambda}^+ - q_{1,\lambda}^- = q_{2,\lambda}^+ - q_{2,\lambda}^- \]  
(4)

and Eqs. (3) are reduced to

\[ q_{\lambda} = (f_{1,\lambda} - f_{2,\lambda}) / [1/\epsilon_{1,\lambda} + 1/\epsilon_{2,\lambda} + 2/(1 + T_{\lambda} - R_{\lambda}) - 2] \]  
(5)

The corresponding integral radiative flux is determined as \( q = \int_0^\infty q_{\lambda} d\lambda \). In further calculations, the aluminum foil screens and quartz fibrous spacer are considered.

4. MODELLING OF OPTICAL PROPERTIES

4.1 Semi-Transparent Fibrous Spacers

Both transmittance and reflectance of radiation by highly porous fibrous spacers can be determined on the basis of the independent scattering hypothesis and Mie theory for infinite homogeneous cylinders (Bohren and Huffman, 1983; Lee and Cunnington, 1998; Dombrovsky and Baillis, 2010). One can see in Fig. 2 that typical fibrous materials used for MLI spacers are made of fibers randomly oriented in the plane of the material layer.

In the heat transfer problem, the transport efficiency factor of extinction \( Q_{tr} \), the efficiency factor of scattering \( Q_s \), and the efficiency factor of absorption \( Q_a \) at arbitrary illumination of fibers can be obtained by neglecting the polarization effects as follows:

\[ Q_s = (Q_s^E + Q_s^H)/2 , \quad Q_{tr} = (Q_{tr}^E + Q_{tr}^H)/2 , \]
\[ Q_a = (Q_a^E + Q_a^H)/2 = Q_{tr} - Q_s \]  
(6)

In the case of fibers randomly oriented in parallel planes, it is convenient to use the efficiency factors averaged over the orientations (Lee 1986, 1988; Lee and Cunnington, 1998) as follows:

\[ \bar{Q}_{\lambda} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \bar{Q}_\lambda(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta , \]
\[ \bar{Q}_{tr} = 3 \int_0^{\pi/2} \bar{Q}_{tr}(\theta) \cos^2(\theta) d\theta , \quad \bar{Q}_{tr}^E = \bar{Q}_{tr} - \bar{Q}_\lambda \]  
(7)

where

\[ \{ \bar{Q}_{tr}(\theta), \bar{Q}_{tr}^E(\alpha), \bar{Q}_\lambda(\theta) \} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \{ Q_{tr}(\alpha), Q_{tr}^E(\alpha), Q_\lambda(\alpha) \} d\psi \]  
(8)

where \( \alpha = \arcsin[\sin(\theta) \sin(\psi)] \) is the incidence angle for a single fiber \( f \); \( \theta \) is the incidence angle for the plane of fibers; and \( \psi \) is the angle between the plane of incidence and the normal plane (for more details, see Dombrovsky and Baillis, 2010, chapter 2). Additional information on transport approximation and the use of this approach in solving radiative transfer problems can also be found in the overview paper by Dombrovsky (2012).

The following assumptions are used to estimate both reflectance and transmittance of a fibrous spacer: the multiple scattering by fibers in a thin semi-transparent spacer can be neglected and there are no dependent scattering effects for randomly oriented fibers in a spacer. Obviously, the previous assumptions are correct in the case of a thin highly porous spacer. As a result, the following simple relationships for the absorbance and reflectance of a monodisperse layer of fibers can be used (Dombrovsky and Baillis, 2010):

\[ A_{\lambda} = \frac{4}{\pi} (1 - p) \bar{Q}_\lambda , \quad R_{\lambda} = \frac{4}{\pi} (1 - p) \bar{Q}_{tr}^b \]  
(9)

where \( p \) is the surface porosity of a spacer; and \( \bar{Q}_{tr}^b = Q_{tr}^b/2 \) is the efficiency factor of backscattering.

Equation (5) shows that the mathematical model includes only the following combination of reflectance and transmittance: \( U_{\lambda} = T_{\lambda} - R_{\lambda} \). Therefore, it is convenient to use \( U_{\lambda} \) in further analysis. Taking into account that \( A_{\lambda} = 1 - R_{\lambda} - T_{\lambda} \), one can obtain the expression for the value of \( U_{\lambda} \) as follows:

\[ U_{\lambda} = 1 - A_{\lambda} - 2R_{\lambda} = 1 - \frac{4}{\pi} (1 - p) \bar{Q}_{tr} \]  
(10)
4.2 Aluminum Foils with a Thin Oxide Layer

It is known that a thin oxide film is formed on the surface of an aluminum foil under normal atmospheric conditions. It usually takes about 2 h to form a 10-nm-thick oxide film (Bartl and Baranek, 2004). This oxide film protects bulk aluminum from further oxidation. The characteristics of oxidized aluminum foil are calculated by solving the problem illustrated schematically in Fig. 3. The resulting normal emittance is calculated as suggested in Brannon and Goldstein (1970). By using Fresnel’s relationships (Born and Wolf, 1999), one can obtain the following expression for normal reflectance, taking into account the oxide film:

\[
R_{n,\lambda} = \frac{(g_1^2 + h_1^2) \exp(2\mu_1) + (g_2^2 + h_2^2) \exp(-2\mu_1)}{e^{2\mu_1} + (g_1^2 + h_1^2) (g_2^2 + h_2^2) \exp(-2\mu_1)} + E \cos 2\gamma_1 + D \sin 2\gamma_1
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1 &= \frac{n_0^2 - n_2^2 - \kappa_1^2}{(n_0 + n_2)^2 + \kappa_1^2}, & h_1 &= \frac{2n_0\kappa_1}{(n_0 + n_2)^2 + \kappa_1^2} \\
g_2 &= \frac{n_1^2 - n_2^2 - \kappa_2^2}{(n_1 + n_2)^2 + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2}, & h_2 &= \frac{2(n_1\kappa_2 - n_2\kappa_1)}{(n_1 + n_2)^2 + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2} \\
\mu_1 &= \frac{2\pi\kappa_1}{\lambda}, & \gamma_1 &= \frac{2\pi n_1}{\lambda}, \\
A &= 2(g_1g_2 + h_1h_2), & B &= 2(g_1h_2 - g_2h_1), \\
E &= 2(g_1g_2 - h_1h_2), & D &= 2(g_1h_2 + g_2h_1)
\end{align*}
\]

Note that for polished metals, when \(\varepsilon_n < 0.5\), the hemispherical emittance is slightly greater than the normal emittance (Howell et al., 2010). At the same time, the oxidation of the metal foil leads to a less pronounced angular dependence of the surface emittance. To simplify the theoretical model, taking into account the oxide film effect, we use the following approximate relationship:

\[
\varepsilon_{\lambda}(\Delta) = \varepsilon_{n,\lambda}(\Delta) \frac{\varepsilon_{\lambda}(0)}{\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}(0)}
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}(0)\) and \(\varepsilon_{\lambda}(0)\) are the normal and hemispherical emittances of the non-oxidized film, respectively. The ratios of \(\varepsilon_{\lambda}(0)/\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}(0)\) were taken from Howell et al. (2010), depending on the value of \(\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}(0)\). Note that the thickness of the oxide films on both surfaces of the aluminum foil is originally the same due to manufacturing conditions, and no subsequent variation of this thickness in space is expected.

4.3 Optical Properties of Fused Silica

Infrared optical properties of fused silica have been studied for many years and the optical constants needed for calculations are well known (Kitamura et al., 2007). Fused silica has weak absorption in the short-wave range of \(\lambda < 4\ \mu m\). In the middle infrared range fused silica is opaque. Two strong absorption bands are located at wavelengths \(\lambda = 9.5\) and \(12.5\ \mu m\). The temperature dependence of the optical constants of fused silica is weak (Beder et al., 1971; Banner et al., 1989; Tan and Arndt, 2000), and we neglect this small effect in the calculations.

4.4 Optical Properties of Aluminum and Aluminum Oxide

The infrared optical constants of aluminum and aluminum oxide can be found in the literature (Gryvnak and Burch, 1965; Brannon and Goldstein, 1970; Whitson, 1975; Linggert et al., 1982). The index of refraction, \(n_\lambda\), of aluminum oxide weakly depends on the temperature, and its spectral variation in the near-infrared range is negligible. On the contrary, the index of absorption, \(\kappa_\lambda\), increases with the wavelength. In the far-infrared range, there are two absorption bands at \(\lambda = 18\) and \(23\ \mu m\), which become less pronounced at relatively high temperatures. The optical constants of aluminum increase almost linearly with
the wavelength, which is typical for metals in this group (Sokolov, 1961; Ordal et al., 1983). The temperature dependence of the optical constants of aluminum is insignificant and this effect is neglected in the present paper.

5. RESULTS OF THE CALCULATIONS

The transport efficiency factor of extinction for monodisperse quartz fibers, randomly oriented in the plane normal to the incident radiation are shown in Fig. 4. One can see that the effect of the fiber radius is not significant, at least not for fibers that are too thin. The whole spectral range can be divided into two spectral bands with quite different $Q_{tr}$ levels. These spectral bands are $2 \leq \lambda \leq 8$ and $8 < \lambda < 12 \mu m$.

The results of the radiative transfer calculations for a single MLI layer at various surface porosities of the spacer and radii of the quartz fiber at realistic temperatures of $T_1 = 500$ K, $T_2 = 300$ K, and $T_{sp} = 400$ K are presented in Fig. 5. The thickness of the oxide film on the foil surface was assumed to be equal to 10 nm. The wavelength range of $1 \leq \lambda \leq 20 \mu m$ was taken into account while integrating over the spectrum because the main contribution to the integral flux of thermal radiation is in this range. The effect of the semi-transparent quartz-fiber spacer appears to be insignificant even in the case of a relatively dense spacer. The minimum in curve $q(a)$ at $a = 1.2 \mu m$ and $p = 0.2$ is explained by the Mie scattering effect.

Figure 6 indicates the considerable effect of the alumina film for one of the variants. An increase in the radiative flux with the film thickness, $\Delta$, should be taken into account in the case of $\Delta > 0.1 \mu m$.

6. PERSPECTIVE OF FURTHER RESEARCH

An analysis of possible solutions for the fibrous spacer showed that the use of metalized quartz fibers may be a
very good choice to decrease the radiative flux without any increase in the MLI weight (the latter is very important for space vehicles). It was proven experimentally that layered materials made of dielectric fibers with a radius from 50 nm to 1.5 μm and aluminum coating 50 nm thick or even less are characterized by abnormally high attenuation of the infrared radiation (Ebert et al., 1991; Caps et al., 1993). The computational studies by Dombrovsky (1997, 1998) showed that the radiative properties of metalized fibers are very close to those of homogeneous metal fibers and can be estimated from the known solution for fibers made of a totally reflecting material. This dependence of the transport efficiency factor of extinction (or scattering) on diffraction parameter \( x = 2\pi a/\lambda \) is shown in Fig. 7.

The great values of the transport extinction coefficient show that the hypothesis of independent scattering is true only for highly porous materials. Particularly, it was shown in Dombrovsky (1997, 1998) that the infrared properties of such materials are well predicted by the Mie theory at the volume fraction of fibers. More details about the spectral properties of metalized fibers including the effect of a thin oxide layer at the fiber surface can be found in Dombrovsky and Baillis (2010).

In the case of metalized fibers, the dependent scattering effects are expected to be significant at a surface density greater than about 0.5 (Tien and Drolen, 1987; Lee, 1996, 2011; Mishchenko et al., 2006). Of course, one cannot use the aforementioned approach to estimate the effect of a metalized spacer in the dependent scattering range. Instead, such a spacer can be approximately treated as an aluminum foil.

In this case, the ratio of radiative fluxes with and without the spacer is equal to

\[
\frac{\eta_{\text{sp}}/\eta_\lambda}{\eta_\lambda} = \frac{(1/\epsilon_{1,\lambda} + 1/\epsilon_{2,\lambda} + 2/\epsilon_{\text{sp},\lambda} - 2)}{(1/\epsilon_{1,\lambda} + 1/\epsilon_{2,\lambda} - 1)}
\]  

Neglecting the effect of temperature on aluminum foil emittance, one can find that this ratio is equal to 0.5. The above estimate of the maximum effect of a porous metalized spacer is very promising. Of course, the theoretical estimate is insufficient. Optical and thermal experimental studies should be done to optimize the parameters of the single metalized fibers and surface density of the spacer.

7. CONCLUSION

A novel radiative transfer model that takes into account a fibrous spacer and oxide film on the foil surface as applied to vacuum thermal insulations of space vehicles was developed. The calculations showed that the effect of ordinary highly porous spacers made of quartz fibers is very small and may be ignored in engineering calculations. The oxide film on aluminum foils at film thickness greater than about 1 μm should be taken into account. The upper estimates showed that a realistic spacer made of metalized fibers with aluminum coating about 50 nm thick may lead to an almost twofold decrease in the integral radiative flux. The latter is considered to be a promising direction for further experimental studies using both optical and thermal measurements.
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